

Indian Wells (760) 568-2611 Irvine

(949) 263-2600 Los Angeles (213) 617-8100

Ontario (909) 989-8584

# BEST BEST & KRIEGER

655 West Broadway, 15<sup>th</sup> Floor, San Diego, CA 92101 Phone: (619) 525-1300 | Fax: (619) 233-6118 | www.bbklaw.com Riverside (951) 686-1450 Sacramento (916) 325-4000 Walnut Creek (925) 977-3300 Washington, DC (202) 785-0600

Shawn Hagerty (619) 525-1327 shawn.hagerty@bbklaw.com File No. 61022.00004

August 13, 2013

Emel G. Wadhwani Senior Staff Counsel State Water Resources Control Board 1001 I Street Sacramento, CA 95814

Re: SWRCB/OCC File A-2236(a) Through (kk)

Dear Ms. Wadhwani:

On behalf of the San Diego County Regional Airport Authority ("Airport Authority"), Best Best & Krieger is pleased to submit these comments on the State Water Resources Control Board's ("State Board") current receiving water limitations ("RWL") language and its two questions regarding the Los Angeles County MS4 Permit's ("LA Permit") approach to the issue. As a special purpose entity that faces significant limitations on its ability to spend airport revenue, the Airport Authority brings a unique perspective to these questions. The Airport Authority encourages the State Board to revise its current RWL language, but to do so in a manner that creates a meaningful compliance path for special purpose entities such as the Airport Authority. Revisions to the current RWL language are particularly important in light of the 9th Circuit Court of Appeals' decision issued August 8, 2013<sup>1</sup>, which is more fully discussed below.

# I. Why the Current RWL Language is a Concern to the Airport Authority

The Airport Authority is a local governmental entity initially created by the State of California in 2001 pursuant to Public Utilities Code §§ 170000 *et seq.* to operate the San Diego International Airport. The Airport covers approximately 661 acres and serves as both a domestic and international commercial airport. The Airport Authority operates the Airport on land that it leases from the San Diego County Unified Port District, who retains trusteeship of the lands underlying the Airport.

Airport operations, including the runway area, taxiways and terminals, require paved or other impervious surfaces. Therefore, approximately 85 to 90 percent of the Airport contains impervious surfaces. The majority of the surface water runoff from the Airport is conveyed via sheet flow into gutters and storm drain inlets, and ultimately discharges to San Diego Bay.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Natural Resources Defense Council v. County of Los Angeles (9th Cir. August 8, 2013) \_\_\_\_ F.3d \_\_\_\_ [Dock. No. 10-56017]. 61022.00004\8207310.2



# BEST BEST & KRIEGER &

Emel G. Wadhwani August 13, 2013 Page 2

Elements of the storm water conveyance system are owned and operated by the City of San Diego. The runoff from the Airport includes run-on from outside the Airport property, and generally mixes with storm water from San Diego and the Port District.

The State Board's current RWL language and possible changes to it are issues of vital importance to the Airport Authority. Although the Airport Authority is more akin to an operator of a Phase II system, the Airport Authority is a permittee on the large MS4 Permit issued by the San Diego Regional Water Quality Control Board. As the State Board knows, the San Diego Regional Board recently reissued the large MS4 Permit as a Regional Permit containing the current RWL language and a requirement that all entities work to develop water quality improvement plans ("WQIPs") for the watersheds cover by the Regional Permit, including San Diego Bay. Unlike the LA Permit, the Regional Permit's WQIP requirements are mandatory and have no relationship to compliance with the RWL requirements. Instead, the Regional Permit continues the strict liability approach of the current RWL language, as it has been interpreted by the 9th Circuit Court of Appeals, while also imposing costly watershed planning requirements on the Airport Authority. The State Board should use this opportunity to adopt a precedential decision revising the RWL language to allow permittees to comply through alternative compliance pathways, including ones that work for special purposes entities such as the Airport Authority.

The State Board's current approach, particularly as applied in the context of the San Diego Regional Permit, creates significant problems for the Airport Authority for at least two main reasons. First, it requires the Airport Authority to achieve the impossible—strict compliance with water quality standards at all times in all receiving waters. The Clean Water Act does not require permittees to achieve the impossible. Yet, the State Board's RWL language, as interpreted by the 9th Circuit<sup>3</sup>, appears to require the impossible. This requirement is particularly difficult for an entity such as the Airport Authority, which operates portions of a small MS4 system that receives commingled flows on a small, highly developed site where public safety must be the first priority.<sup>4</sup> The Airport Authority is committed to continue to make water quality improvements, but should not be asked to do the impossible.

Second, the State Board's current RWL language, when combined with the WQIP requirements of the San Diego Regional Permit, creates unique hardships for the Airport Authority. Federal law restricts the ability of the Airport Authority to spend Airport revenue on anything other than

<sup>3</sup> Natural Resources Defense Council v. County of Los Angeles (9th Cir. 2011), 673 F.3d 880, rev'd on other grounds by 133 S.Ct. 710 (2013).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Hughey v. JMS Dev. Corp. (11th Cir.) 78 F.3d 1523.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> This problem has been made worse by the 9th Circuit's August 8, 2013 decision. That decision appears to suggest that dischargers may be held jointly liable for receiving water exceedances, even without specific evidence that the individual discharger actually discharged the pollutants causing or contributing to the exceedance. To avoid such liability without evidence, dischargers will be compelled to turn inward and focus only on their discharges. 61022.00004\8207310.2



# BEST BEST & KRIEGER &

Emel G. Wadhwani August 13, 2013 Page 3

capital or operating costs of the Airport.<sup>5</sup> Violation of this federal requirement, which prohibits airport "revenue diversion," may result in severe penalties, including withholding of payments under existing grants, modification of existing grants, withholding approval of future grants and civil penalties.<sup>6</sup> Thus, although the Airport Authority may spend Airport revenue to address storm water discharges at the Airport, it may not spend such revenue to develop plans, implement activities and to otherwise address discharges in the broader San Diego Bay watershed. Any RWL language compliance option developed by the State Board must therefore allow for implementation on the jurisdictional level, and be sensitive to the unique spending limits applicable to the Airport Authority.

# II. Why the Receiving Water Limitations Language Can and Should be Revised

The current RWL language, particularly as embodied in the San Diego Regional Permit, thus presents both fiscal and water quality challenges for the Airport Authority. Revising the RWL language could alleviate these challenges. Of course, the Authority must still be held accountable through specific, enforceable requirements. However, the State Board should use this opportunity to make meaningful revisions to the RWL language that continue to hold discharges accountable for their actions but also allow for flexible compliance approaches.

The State Board has the power to make such changes, and should do so through a state-wide precedential order. The legal support for the revisions to the RWL language is briefly set forth below.

# A. Initial Development of the RWL Language

The current RWL language was originally developed based on what courts have subsequently made clear was a fundamental misunderstanding of requirements of the Clean Water Act. In 1991, the State Board concluded that Section 402(p)(3)(B) of the Act required MS4 permits to contain effluent limitations based on water quality standards in accordance with Section 301 of the Act. The State Board reasoned that Section 402(p)(3)(B) of the Act only modified the technology-based requirements of Section 301, and left in place the water quality-based requirements of Section 301, even if those requirements were more stringent than the maximum extent practicable ("MEP") standard.

Subsequent State Board decisions expressly confirmed that the State Board intended the RWL language to implement Section 301(b)(1)(C)'s requirement that NPDES permit include technology-based requirements and more stringent effluent limitations necessary to meet water

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> 49 U.S.C. §§ 47107, 47111 and 47133(a); 64 Fed.Reg. 7696 (Feb. 16, 1999).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> 49 U.S.C. §§ 47109, 47107, 47111.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> State Board Order No. WQ 91-03.

# BK

# BEST BEST & KRIEGER &

Emel G. Wadhwani August 13, 2013 Page 4

quality standards.<sup>8</sup> Based on this misinterpretation of the Act, the State Board promulgated "the strongest and clearest possible language to protect water quality." In 1999, the State Board refined its approach and issued the RWL language that currently applies to all MS4 permits.<sup>9</sup>

The confusion about whether Section 301 applied to Section 402(p)(3)(B) was understandable prior to 1999 because no precedential legal decision had yet addressed the question. In 1999, however, the 9th Circuit Court of Appeals unequivocally resolved this question. The 9th Circuit held that Section 402(p)(3)(B) was unambiguous and completely replaced the requirements of Section 301 for MS4 permits. Therefore, neither the technology-based nor water quality-based requirements of Section 301 applied to MS4 permits. In other words, the legal premise on which the State Board's RWL language was based was wrong.

## B. Clarification in the State Board's 2001 BIA Order

In 2001, the State Board had the opportunity to clarify its RWL language in light of the 9th Circuit's decision in *Browner*. As the State Board acknowledged, it had not previously addressed the implications of *Browner* and the holding that MS4 permits did not need to require strict compliance with water quality standards. The State Board then properly interpreted its RWL language in a manner consistent with *Browner* and found that the language "does not require strict compliance with water quality standards." Rather, compliance with water quality standards "is to be achieved over time, through an iterative approach requiring improved BMPs."

The State Board declined to eliminate, as it could have done under *Browner*, the need to address water quality standards at all in MS4 permits in California. The State Board found that a technology-based standard alone would ignore the impacts urban runoff was having on receiving waters. The State Board thus pursued a middle course in which strict compliance with water quality standards would not generally be required, but where water quality standards would still be addressed through an iterative approach, which seeks compliance over time. This approach, the State Board found, "is protective of water quality, but at the same time considers the difficulties of achieving full compliance through BMPs that must be enforced throughout large and medium municipal storm sewer systems."

The State Board's 2001 precedential interpretation of the RWL language remains the State Board's last precedential order on the subject. Had the iterative approach as articulated in the State Board's 2001 Order been uniformly applied, many of the Airport Authority's current concerns would have been ameliorated. Such an iterative approach establishes a high bar—the ultimate achievement of water quality standards—but also recognizes the difficulties faced by

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> State Board Order No. WQ 98-01.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> State Board Order No. 99-05.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> Defenders of Wildlife v. Browner (9th Cir. 1999) 191 F.3d 1159.

<sup>11</sup> State Board Order No. 2001-15.



MS4s in achieving those standards because of the open nature of MS4 systems, significant variability in rainfall and technical and financial feasibility.

Unfortunately, not all the Regional Boards, nor the courts and third parties applied this language uniformly. Ultimately, the RWL language was interpreted based on the original misapplication of Section 301 to MS4 permits, and was applied to require strict compliance with water quality standard, as most recently reflected in the 9th Circuit's 2011 and 2013 decisions involving the 2001 LA permit.

### C. The NRDC Case

The 9th Circuit in 2011 issued an opinion, subsequently reversed on other grounds, that interprets the State Board's RWL language to require strict compliance with water quality standards, uncoupled from the iterative process as expressed in the State Board's 2001 Order. The 9th Circuit's opinion appears to turn the State Board's 2001 precedential decision on its head. Rather than finding the iterative process to be an integral part of the State's effort to achieve compliance with water quality standards over time through improved BMPs, the 9th Circuit held that strict compliance with water quality standards was required and was subject to independent enforcement separate from the iterative process.

The 9th Circuit's decision appears rooted in the same misunderstanding of Section 402(p)(3)(B) and Section 301 of the Act that existed at the time of the original development of the RWL language. The 9th Circuit quoted with support from non-MS4 cases that are based on the strict application of water quality standards. For example, the 9th Circuit noted that "[o]nly by enforcing the water-quality standards themselves as the limits could the purpose of the CWA and the NPDES system be effectuated." The 9th Circuit rejected the notion (which, of course, it previously had supported in *Browner*) that Section 402(p)(3)(B) was a "lesser standard." The 9th Circuit reasoned that "Defendants' position that they are subject to a less rigorous or unenforceable regulatory scheme for their storm-water discharges cannot be reconciled with the significant legislative history showing Congress's intent to bring MS4 operators under the NPDES-permitting system."

Although the 9th Circuit's decision was reversed by the United States Supreme Court on other grounds, its interpretation of the RWL language was not addressed by the Supreme Court. The 9th Circuit's decision can thus be interpreted as a fundamental shift away from the State Board's approach in its 2001 precedential order. To make matters worse, the 9th Circuit on August 8, 2013, on remand from the Supreme Court, reconsidered its previous opinion and again held the Los Angeles Flood Control District liable for the quality of the receiving water, even though

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> Natural Resources Defense Council v. County of Los Angeles (9th Cir. 2011), 673 F.3d 880, rev'd on other grounds by 133 S.Ct. 710 (2013). 61022.00004\8207310.2



there was no evidence of a discharge of the standard exceeding pollutants from the Flood Control District's MS4. The 9th Circuit's new decision emphasizes even more the need for RWL reform because it appears to hold permittees liable for the quality of the receiving waters absent any evidence of an individual contribution to the problem.

The Airport Authority acknowledges that some at the State Board and at the various Regional Board may believe that the 9th Circuit's decisions are consistent with the current RWL language and do not reflect a change in approach. However, this position appears hard to reconcile with an objective comparison of the statements in the State Board's 2001 precedential order (in which the State Board stated that its RWL language does not require strict compliance with water quality standards) with the 9th Circuit's decisions (in which the Court held that the RWL language requires strict compliance with water quality standards, apparently even absent evidence of an individual discharge).

# D. The Current Situation and the State Board's Authority to Revise the RWL Language

The 9th Circuit's decisions have resulted in significant concerns from municipal discharges, who have requested that the State Board address the issue through a reconsideration of its current RWL language. The issue has been raised directly with the State Board in connection with the Caltrans Permit and the Phase II Permit. The issue was also the crucial policy issue raised in connection with the LA Permit and the San Diego Regional Permit, both of which are subject to pending petitions to the State Board. Resolution of the RWL language issue by the State Board is the most important pending MS4 policy question.

Some have asserted that the State Board lacks the legal authority to consider changes to its current RWL language. The Airport Authority believes that the State Board has discretion on the question and that neither the anti-backsliding nor the anti-degradation provisions of the Act or state law preclude the State Board from addressing the RWL language, as briefly explained below.

# 1. Anti-Backsliding

Some have asserted that the anti-backsliding provisions of the Act and federal regulations preclude any changes to the RWL language. A careful reading of the Act and the regulations demonstrate otherwise.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> In its 2011 opinion, the 9th Circuit had rejected the contention that the mass-emissions monitoring station data conclusively established the Flood Control District's liability. The 9th Circuit held that there must be some additional proof of the Flood Control District's individual contribution to the water quality exceedance. However, on August 8, 2013, the reconsidered this argument and held that the monitoring data only established liability, even absent evidence of the District's individual contribution.

61022.00004\8207310.2



Section 402(o) of the Act provides that for specific effluent limitations established on the basis of specific sections of the Act, a permit may not be renewed or reissued that contains effluent limitations which are less stringent than the comparable effluent limitations in the previous permit. There are several reasons why Section 402(o) has no application to the RWL language.

First, the RWL language is not an "effluent limitation" as defined in the Act. An "effluent limitation" is "any restriction established by a State or the Administrator on quantities, rates, and concentrations of chemical, physical, biological, and other constituents which are discharged from point sources into navigable waters, the waters of the contiguous zone, or the ocean, including schedules of compliance." An "effluent limitation" is thus a limit measured at the point of discharge from a point source. In contrast, the RWL language measures compliance in the receiving water.

Second, even if the RWL language could be characterized as an "effluent limitation," it is not (and could not be) developed in accordance with the specific sections listed in Section 402(o). It is not a technology-based effluent limitation established based on best professional judgment in accordance with Section 402(a)(1)(B). Rather, it derives its legal authority from Section 402(p)(3)(B). Moreover, as *Browner* makes clear, the RWL language is not (and could not be) a technology-based or water-quality based effluent limitation established on the basis of Section 301(b)(1)(c) because Section 301 has no application to MS4 permits. This is true because Section 402(p)(3)(B) replaces in its entirety the requirements of Section 301. Finally, the RWL language is not an effluent limitation developed under Section 303(d) or (e), which involve the continuing planning process and TMDLs. The RWL language is, at its core, an exercise of the State Board's discretion under the "such other provisions" language of Section 402(p)(3)(B)(iii) and is not subject to Section 402(o).

The federal regulations also contain anti-backsliding provisions. These regulations must be addressed in NPDES permits "when applicable." Due to the unique nature of MS4s and the special standards Congress created in Section 402(p)(3)(B) for such systems, these regulations are not "applicable" to MS4 permits. The regulations provide that "interim effluent limitations, standards or conditions" of renewed or reissued permits must be at least as stringent as the final effluent limitations, standards or conditions in the previous permit. For the same reasons as discussed above regarding Section 402(o), these regulations do not apply to MS4 permits. It is commonly recognized that these regulations do not govern requirements based on state water quality standards. Because the RWL language is, at its core, intended to protect state water quality standards, these regulations have no application to the RWL language.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> 33 U.S.C. §502(11)(Emphasis added).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup> 40 CFR § 122.44(1).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup> See, e.g., NPDES Permit Writers' Manual, page 7-4. 61022.00004\8207310.2



# 2. Anti-Degradation

EPA's regulations require that each state develop and adopt a statewide anti-degradation policy and identify the methods for implementing such policy. <sup>17</sup> California adopted its anti-degradation policy in 1968. <sup>18</sup> The State Board has issued guidance on its policy through Administrative Procedures Update ("APU") 90-004.

As APU 90-004 makes clear, the State's anti-degradation policy does not apply when a discharge "will not be adverse to the intent and purpose of the state and federal anti-degradation policies." Likewise, APU 90-004 provides that if there is "no reason to believe that existing water quality will be reduced due to the proposed action, no anti-degradation analysis is required." As noted above, revisions to the RWL language will allow MS4s through-out the State to better address water quality problems and will lead to better water quality outcomes. Thus, there is no reason to believe that revisions to the RWL language will reduce existing water quality. Therefore, the anti-degradation policy does not apply.

This analysis is consistent with recent case law regarding anti-degradation. In a recent case, the court acknowledged that the anti-degradation policy might not apply if it can be shown that the discharge of waste will not degrade the quality of the receiving water. <sup>19</sup> To support such a conclusion, a water board must ensure that the regulatory action includes sufficient requirements, including an effective monitoring program, to demonstrate that the discharge will not degrade the quality of the receiving water. MS4 permits contain such requirements, including an effective monitoring program. Therefore, the anti-degradation provisions do not apply.

### **III.** Responses to Two Questions

With the above legal framework in mind, the Airport Authority has the following responses to the two questions posed by the State Board. In the Airport Authority's view, the RWL language must be amended to include a compliance program option that includes the following key elements: it must be voluntary; it must allow for a jurisdictional approach that would address the unique spending limits placed on the Airport Authority; it must allow for a best management practices approach; it must allow for prioritization of pollutant-water body combinations; it must permit adaptive management; and it must provide that good faith compliance with the program constitutes compliance with receiving water limitations and discharge prohibitions. The Airport Authority's answers below are based on these key elements.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup> 40 CFR 131.12.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>18</sup> State Board Resolution No. 68-16.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>19</sup> Asociacion De Gente Unida Por El Aqua v. Central Valley Regional Water Quality Control Board (2012) 210 Cal.App.4th 1255. 61022.00004\8207310.2



# A. General Support for Compliance Approach in the LA Permit

The Airport Authority generally supports the LA Permit's compliance approach as a good first step toward revising the RWL language. The approach is properly an optional one that allows permittees to decide whether the current language or a different approach works best for the Airport Authority. The approach can be implemented on a jurisdictional level that would address the unique spending limits placed on the Airport Authority. It also contains sufficient rigor to provide measurable and enforceable requirements that permittees must meet. Although somewhat cumbersome, the Airport Authority views the LA approach as a good first step and commends the Regional Board for its attempt to address the RWL issue. To fully address the issue, however, additional language rooted in the iterative process is required.

# B. Support for CASQA's Improvements to the LA Permit's Approach

Although the Airport Authority views the LA Permit's approach as a good first step, the Airport Authority believes that the language requires further refinement. The Airport Authority also supports the RWL language put forward by CASQA in its response to the State Board's two questions as an additional step toward true RWL reform. CASQA's refinements to the LA approach makes the compliance program process more usable and comprehensive.

Moreover, the State Board should additionally consider including in the revised RWL language a mechanism to address those situations where, despite good faith efforts, achievement of water quality standards, including interim and final wasteload allocations/effluent limitations, proves to be currently infeasible. Building such a mechanism into the RWL compliance process now will avoid future disputes and will establish a comprehensive structure through which the State and Regional Boards can work collaboratively with MS4s to achieve water quality standards.

### IV. Conclusion

The Airport Authority appreciates the opportunity to provide these comments to the State Board and looks forward to the State Board workshop on the topic. It urges the State Board to use this opportunity to make meaningful revisions to the RWL language.

Very truly yours,

Shawn Hagerty

of BEST BEST & KRIEGER LLP

cc: Lee S. Kaminetz, Senior Attorney San Diego Airport Authority

61022.00004\8207310.2

# **All Interested Parties**

Mr. Samuel Unger [via email only]
Executive Officer
Los Angeles Regional Water Quality Control
Board
320 West 4th Street, Suite 200
Los Angeles, CA 90013
sunger@waterboards.ca.gov

Ms. Deborah Smith [via email only]
Assistant Executive Officer
Los Angeles Regional Water Quality Control
Board
320 West 4th Street, Suite 200
Los Angeles, CA 90013
dsmith@waterboards.ca.gov

Ms. Paula Rasmussen [via email only]
Assistant Executive Officer
Los Angeles Regional Water Quality Control
Board
320 West 4th Street, Suite 200
Los Angeles, CA 90013
prasmussen@waterboards.ca.gov

Ms. Renee Purdy [via email only] Environmental Program Manager I Los Angeles Regional Water Quality Control Board 320 West 4th Street, Suite 200 Los Angeles, CA 90013 rpurdy@waterboards.ca.gov Lori T. Okun, Esq. [via email only] Office of Chief Counsel State Water Resources Control Board 1001 I Street, 22nd Floor [95814] P.O. Box 100 Sacramento, CA 95812-0100 lokun@waterboards.ca.gov

Frances L. McChesney, Esq. [via email only] Office of Chief Counsel State Water Resources Control Board 1001 I Street, 22nd Floor [95814] P.O. Box 100 Sacramento, CA 95812-0100 FMcChesney@waterboards.ca.qov

Jennifer L. Fordyce, Esq. [via email only]
Office of Chief Counsel
State Water Resources Control Board
1001 I Street, 22nd Floor [95814]
P.O. Box 100
Sacramento, CA 95812-0100
ifordyce@waterboards.ca.gov

Nicole L Johnson, Esq. [via email only] Office of Chief Counsel State Water Resources Control Board 1001 I Street, 22nd Floor [95814] P.O. Box 100 Sacramento, CA 95812-0100 njohnson@waterboards.ca.gov

# **All Interested Parties (cont.)**

Mr. Ivar Ridgeway [via email only]
Environmental Scientist
Los Angeles Regional Water Quality Control
Board
320 West 4th Street, Suite 200
Los Angeles, CA 90013
iridgeway@waterboards.ca.gov

Philip G. Wyels, Esq. [via email only] Office of Chief Counsel State Water Resources Control Board 1001 I Street, 22nd Floor [95814] P.O. Box 100 Sacramento, CA 95812-0100 pwyels@waterboards.ca.gov

Mr. David W. Smith, Chief [via email only] Permits Office U.S. EPA, Region 9 75 Hawthorne Street San Francisco, CA 94105 smith.davidw@epa.gov Michael Lauffer, Esq. [via email only]
Office of Chief Counsel
State Water Resources Control Board
1001 I Street, 22nd Floor [95814]
P.O. Box 100
Sacramento, CA 95812-0100
mlauffer@waterboards.ca.gov

Bethany A. Pane, Esq. [via email only]
Office of Chief Counsel
State Water Resources Control Board
1001 I Street, 22nd Floor [95814]
P.O. Box 100
Sacramento, CA 95812-0100
bpane@waterboards.ca.gov

Joanne Griffin [via email only]
Office of Chief Counsel
State Water Resources Control Board
1001 I Street, 22nd Floor [95814]
P.O. Box 100
Sacramento, CA 95812-0100
jgriffin@waterboards.ca.gov

# SWRCB/OCC FILE NOS. A-2236(a) through (kk) PETITIONERS AND THEIR COUNSEL OF RECORD CONTACT LIST EXHIBIT A

City of San Marino (A-2236(a)):	City of Ei Monte [A-2236(u)]:		
[via U.S. Mail and email] Lisa Bond, Esq. Candice K. Lee, Esq. Andrew J. Brady, Esq.	[via U.S. Mail and email] Ricardo Olivarez, Esq. City Attorney, City of El Monte		
		Richards, Watson & Gershon	11333 Valley Boulevard
		355 South Grand Avenue, 40th Floor	El Monte, CA 91734-2008
		Los Angeles, CA 90071	rollvarez@ogplaw.com
ibond@rwglaw.com			
ciee@rwglaw.com			
abrady@rwglaw.com			
AD AD FOR TOLIAN COM			
[via U.S. Mall and email]			
·	[via U.S. Mali and email]		
City of San Marino	City of El Monte		
c/o Mr. John Schaefer, City Manager	c/o Mr. Dayle Keller		
2200 Huntington Drive San Marino, CA 91108	Interim City Manager		
ischaefer@citvofsanmarino.org	11333 Valley Boulevard		
	El Monte, CA 91731		
	dketler@ci.el-monte.ca.us		
City of Rancho Palos Verdes [A-2236(b)]:	City of Monrovia [A-2236(y)]:		
via U.S. Mali and email)			
isa Bond, Esq.	[via U.S. Mail and email]		
Candice K. Lee, Esq.	Lisa Bond, Esq.		
	Candice K. Lee, Esq.		
Andrew J. Brady, Esq. Richards, Watson & Gershon	Andrew J. Brady, Esq.		
	Richards, Watson & Gershon		
855 South Grand Avenue, 40th Floor os Angeles, CA 90071	355 South Grand Avenue, 40th Floor		
bond@rwalaw.com	Los Angeles, CA 90071		
	lbond@rwglaw.com		
ilee@rwglaw.com brady@rwglaw.com	clee@rwglaw.com		
MINN TO THE PROPERTY OF THE PR	abrady@rwqlaw.com		
via U.S. Mail only]	[via U.S. Maii and email]		
City of Rancho Palos Verdes	City of Monrovia		
/o City Manager	c/o City Manager		
0940 Hawthorne Boulevard	415 South Ivy Avenue		
Panaha Balan Mardan CA 00075	TO COULT IN TABILITY		
Rancho Palos Verdes, CA 90275	Monrovia, CA 91016		

# City of South El Monte [A-2236(c)]:

### [via U.S. Mail and email]

Lisa Bond, Esq. Candice K. Lee, Esq. Andrew J. Brady, Esq. Richards, Watson & Gershon 355 South Grand Avenue, 40th Floor Los Angeles, CA 90071 lbond@rwalaw.com

clee@rwgiaw.com abrady@rwglaw.com

[via U.S. Mail only] City of South El Monte c/o City Manager 1415 N. Santa Anita Avenue South El Monte, CA 91733

# City of Norwalk [A-2236(d)]:

[via U.S. Mali and email] Lisa Bond, Esq. Candice K. Lee, Esq. Andrew J. Brady, Esq. Richards, Watson & Gershon 355 South Grand Avenue, 40th Floor Los Angeles, CA 90071

lbond@rwglaw.com clee@rwalaw.com abrady@rwgiaw.com

### (via U.S. Mail only)

City of Norwalk c/o Mr. Michael J. Egan City Manager t2700 Norwalk Boulevard Norwalk, CA 90650

City of Artesia [A-2236 (e)]:

### [via U.S. Mall and email]

Lisa Bond, Esq. Candice K. Lee, Esq. Andrew J. Brady, Esq. Richards, Watson & Gershon 355 South Grand Avenue, 40th Floor Los Angeles, CA 90071 ibond@rwglaw.com clee@rwglaw.com abrady@rwglaw.com

# City of Agoura Hills [A-2236(w)]:

# (via U.S. Mali and emali)

Lisa Bond, Esq. Candice K. Lee, Esq. Andrew J. Brady, Esq. Richards, Watson & Gershon 355 South Grand Avenue, 40th Floor Los Angeles, CA 90071 lbond@rwglaw.com clee@rwqlaw.com abrady@rwglaw.com

[via U.S. Mall only] City of Agoura Hills c/o City Manager 30001 Ladyface Court

Agoura Hills, CA 91301

### City of Pico Rivera [A-2236(x)]:

[via U.S. Maii and emaii] Anthony Marinaccio, Esq. Alvarez-Glasman & Coivin 13181 Crossroads Parkway North West Tower, Suite 400 City of Industry, CA 91746 amarinaccio@aqclawfirm.com

# [via U.S. Mall and email]

City of Pico Rivera c/o Mr. Ron Bates, City Manager and Mr. Arturo Cervantes, Director of Public Works 6615 Passons Boulevard Pico Rivera, CA 90660 rbates@pico-rivera.org acervantes@pico-rivera.org

## City of Carson [A-2236(v)]:

[via U.S. Mail and email]

William W. Wynder, Esq. City Attorney Aleshire & Wynder, LLP 2361 Rosecrans Avenue, Suite 475 El Segundo, CA 90245 wwwnder@awattorneys.com

[via U.S. Mall only] City of Artesia c/o interim City Manager 18747 Clarkdale Avenue Artesia, CA 90701 City of Torrance [A-2236(f)]: [via U.S. Mail and email] Lisa Bond, Esq. Candice K. Lee, Esq. Andrew J. Brady, Esq. Richards, Watson & Gershon 355 South Grand Avenue, 40th Floor Los Angeles, CA 90071 lbond@rwglaw.com clee@rwglaw.com abrady@rwglaw.com [via U.S. Mail and email] City of Torrance Torrance, CA 90503

c/o Mr. LeRoy J. Jackson, City Manager 3031 Torrance Boulevard, Third Floor llackson@torranceca.gov

[via U.S. Mali and email] City of Torrance c/o Mr. Robert J. Beste **Public Works Director** 20500 Madrona Avenue Torrance, CA 90503 rbeste@torranceca.gov

[via U.S. Mail and email] Lisa Bond, Esq. Candice K. Lee, Esq. Andrew J. Brady, Esq. Richards, Watson & Gershon 355 South Grand Avenue, 40th Floor Los Angeles, CA 90071 lbond@rwalaw.com clee@rwglaw.com abrady@rwglaw.com

City of Beverly Hills [A-2236 (g)]:

[via U.S. Mali and email] David D. Boyer, Esq., Special Counsel Wesley A. Miliband, Esq., Special Counsel Aleshire & Wynder, LLP 18881 Von Karman Avenue, Sulte 1700

Irvine, CA 92612 dboyer@awattorneys.com wmiliband@awattorneys.com

[via U.S. Mall and email]

City of Carson c/o Mr. David C. Biggs City Manager 701 E. Carson Street Carson, CA 90745 dbiggs@carson.ca.us

[via U.S. Mall and email[ City of Carson c/o Mr. Farrokh Abolfathi P.E. Principal Civil Engineer 701 E. Carson Street

Carson, CA 90745 fabolfathi@carson.ca.us

[via U.S. Mall and email] City of Carson c/o Ms. Patricia Elkins Storm Water Quality Programs Manager 701 E. Carson Street Carson, CA 90745 pelkins@carson.ca.us

City of Lawndale (A-2236 (z)):

[via U.S. Mall and email] Tiffany J. Israel, Esq. City Attorney, City of Lawndale Aleshire & Wynder, LLP 18881 Von Karman Avenue, Suite 1700 irvine, CA 92612 tisrael@awattorneys.com

[via U.S. Mall and email] [via U.S. Mail and email] City of Beverly Hills David D. Boyer, Esq., Special Counsel c/o City Manager Wesley A. Miliband, Esq., Special Counsel 455 N. Rexford Drive Aleshire & Wynder, LLP Beverly Hills, CA 90210 18881 Von Karman Avenue, Suite 1700 ikolin@beveriyhills.org Irvine, CA 92612 dbover@awattornevs.com wmiliband@awattornevs.com City of Hidden Hills [A-2236(h)]: [via U.S. Mail and email] City of Lawndale [via U.S. Mail and email] c/o Mr. Stephen Mandoki, City Manager Lisa Bond, Esq. 14717 Burin Avenue Candice K. Lee, Esq. Lawndaie, CA 90260 Andrew J. Brady, Esq. smandoki@lawndalecity.org Richards, Watson & Gershon 355 South Grand Avenue, 40th Floor Los Angeles, CA 90071 lbond@rwglaw.com clee@rwglaw.com abrady@rwglaw.com [via U.S. Mail and email] [via U.S. Mail and email] City of Hidden Hills City of Lawndale c/o City Manager c/o Mr. Nasser Abbaszadeh 6165 Spring Valley Road Director of Public Works Hidden Hills, CA 91302 14717 Burin Avenue staff@hiddenhillscity.org Lawndale, CA 90260 nabbaszadeh@lawndalecity.org City of Claremont [A-2236 (i)]: City of Commerce [A-2236(aa)]: [via U.S. Mail and email] [via U.S. Mall and email] Shawn Hagerty, Esq. Lisa Bond, Esq. J.G. Andre Monette, Esq. Candice K. Lee, Esq. Rebecca Andrews, Esq. Best Best & Krieger, LLP Andrew J. Brady, Esq. 655 West Boardway, 15th Floor Richards, Watson & Gershon San Diego, CA 92101 355 South Grand Avenue, 40th Floor andre.monette@bbklaw.com Los Angeles, CA 90071 Ibond@rwalaw.com clee@rwglaw.com abrady@rwglaw.com [via U.S. Mail only] [via U.S. Mail and email] City of Claremont City of Commerce c/o Mr. Brian Desatnik c/o Mr. Jorge Rifa **Director of Community Development** City Administrator 207 Harvard Avenue

2535 Commerce Way

Commerce, CA 90040 iorger@ci.commerce.ca.us

Claremont, CA 91711

bdesatnik@ci.claremont.ca.us

### City of Arcadia [A-2236(I)]:

[via U.S. Mail and email]
Shawn Hagerty, Esq.
J.G. Andre Monette, Esq.
Rebecca Andrews, Esq.
Best Best & Krieger, LLP
655 West Broadway, t5th Floor
San Diego, CA 92101
andre.monette@bbklaw.com

### [via U.S. Mail and email]

City of Arcadia, City Manager c/o Mr. Dominic Lazzaretto 240 West Huntington Drive P.O. Box 60021 Arcadia, CA 91066 dlazzaretto@ci.arcadia.ca.us

#### [via U.S. Maii and emaii]

City of Arcadia c/o Mr. Tom Talt Director of Public Works Services 240 West Huntington Drive P.O. Box 60021 Arcadla, CA 91066 ttalt@ci.arcadla.ca.us

# Cities of Duarte and Huntington Beach [A-2236(k)]:

[via U.S. Mail and email]
Richard Montevideo, Esq.
Joseph Larsen, Esq.
Rutan & Tucker, LLP
611 Anton Boulevard, Suite 1400
Costa Mesa, CA 92626
rmontevideo@rutan.com

### [via U.S. Mail and email]

City of Duarte
c/o Mr. Darrell George
City Manager
1600 Huntington Drive
Duarte, CA 91010
georged@accessduarte.com

### City of Pomona [A-2236(bb)]:

### [via U.S. Mail and email]

Andrew L. Jared, Esq.
Anthony Marinaccio, Esq.
Alvarez-Giasman & Colvin
13181 Crossroads Parkway North
West Tower, Suite 400
City of Industry, CA 91746
andrew@agclawfirm.com
amarinaccio@agclawfirm.com

### [via U.S. Mail only]

Clty of Pomona c/o Ms. Linda Lowry, City Manager and Ms. Julie Carver, Environmental Programs Coordinator P.O. Box 660 505 S. Garey Avenue Pomona, CA 91766

### City of Sierra Madre [A-2236(cc)]:

# [via U.S. Mail and email]

Teresa L. Highsmith, Esq., City Attorney Holly O. Whatley, Esq. Colantuono & Levin, PC 300 South Grand Avenue, Suite 2700 Los Angeles, CA 90071-3137 thighsmith@cliaw.us hwhatley@cliaw.us

### [via U.S. Mail only]

City of Sierra Madre c/o Ms. Elaine Aguilar, City Manager 232 West Sierra Madre Boulevard Sierra Madre, CA 91024

### City of Downey [A-2236(dd)]:

### [via U.S. Mail and email]

Lisa Bond, Esq.
Candice K. Lee, Esq.
Andrew J. Brady, Esq.
Richards, Watson & Gershon
355 South Grand Avenue, 40th Floor
Los Angeles, CA 9007t
ibond@rwolaw.com
clee@rwolaw.com
abrady@rwolaw.com

[via U.S. Mali only]
City of Huntington Park
c/o Mr. René Bobadilla
City Manager
6550 Miles Avenue
Huntington Park, CA 90255

[via U.S. Mall and email]
City of Downey
c/o Yvette M. Ablch Garcia, Esq.
City Attorney
11111 Brookshire Avenue
Downey, CA 90241
ygarcia@downeyca.org

## City of Glendora [A-2236(I)]:

[via U.S. Mail and email]
D. Wayne Leech, Esq.
City Attorney, City of Glendora
Leech & Associates
11001 E. Valley Mail #200
El Monte, CA 91731
wayne@leechlaw.com

# [via U.S. Mail and amail] City of Downey c/o Mr. Jason Wen, Ph.D., P.E. Utilities Superintendent 9252 Stewart and Gray Road

Downey, CA 90241 jwen@downeyca.org

# [via U.S. Mail and email]

City of Glendora
c/o Mr. Chrls Jeffers, City Manager, and
Mr. Dave Davles, Director of Public Works
116 East Foothill Boulevard
Glendora, CA 91741-3380
city manager@ci.glendora.ca.us
ddavies@ci.glendora.ca.us

## City of inglewood [A-2236(ee)]:

[via U.S. Mall and email]
Lisa Bond, Esq.
Candice K. Lee, Esq.
Andrew J. Brady, Esq.
Richards, Watson & Gershon
355 South Grand Avenue, 40th Floor
Los Angeles, CA 90071
bond@rwglaw.com
clee@rwglaw.com
abrady@rwglaw.com

# NRDC, Heal the Bay and Los Angeles Waterkeeper [A-2236(m)]:

[via U.S. Mail and email]
Steve Fielschil, Esq.
Noah Garrison, Esq.
Natural Resources Defense Council, Inc.
1314 Second Street
Santa Monica, CA 90401
sfleischil@nrdc.org ngarrison@nrdc.org

# [via U.S. Mall and email]

City of inglewood
c/o City Manager
One Manchester Boulevard
Inglewood, CA 90301
Iamimoto@cityofinglewood.org
brai@cityofinglewood.org
latwell@cityofinglewood.org
jalewis@cityofinglewood.org
csaunders@cityofinglewood.org
afields@cityofinglewood.org

### [via U.S. Mail and email]

Liz Crosson, Esq.
Tatlana Gaur, Esq.
Los Angeles Waterkeeper
120 Broadway, Suite 105 Santa Monica, CA 90401
liz@lawaterkeeper.org
toaur@lawaterkeeper.org

### City of Lynwood [A-2236(ff)]:

[via U.S. Mali and email]
Fred Galante, Esq., City Attorney
David D. Boyer, Esq., Special Counsel
Wesley A. Millband, Esq., Special Counsel
Aleshire & Wynder, LLP
18881 Von Karman Avenue, Suite 1700
Irvine, CA 92612
dboyer@awattorneys.com
wmillband@awattorneys.com
fgalante@awattorneys.com

### [via U.S. Mali and emali]

Kirsten James, Esq. Heal the Bay 1444 9th Street Santa Monica, CA 90401 kiames@healthebay.org

# [via U.S. Mail and email]

City of Lynwood c/o Mr. Josef Kekula and Mr. Ellas Salkaly Public Works Department 11330 Bullis Road Lynwood, CA 90262 ikekula@lynwood.ca.us esaikaly@lynwood.ca.us

### City of Gardena [A-2236(n)]:

### [via U.S. Mail and email]

Cary S. Reisman, Esq.
Assistant City Attorney, City of Gardena Wallin, Kress, Reisman & Kranitz, LLP 2800 28th Street, Suite 315
Santa Monica, CA 90405
cary@wkrklaw.com

# City of Irwindale [A-2236(gg)]:

### [via U.S. Mail and email]

Fred Galante, Esq., City Attorney
David D. Boyer, Esq., Special Counsel
Wesley A. Miliband, Esq., Special Counsel
Aleshire & Wynder, LLP
18881 Von Karman Avenue, Suite 1700
Irvine, CA 92612
dbover@awattornevs.com
wmllband@awattornevs.com
[galante@awattorneys.com]

### [via U.S. Mail and email]

City of Gardena c/o Mr. Mitch Lansdell, City Manager 1700 West 162nd Street Gardena, CA 90247 mlansdell@ci.gardena.ca.us

# [via U.S. Mali and email]

City of Irwindale c/o Mr. Kwok Tam, City Engineer Public Works Department 5050 North Irwindale Avenue Irwindale, CA 91706 ktam@ci.irwindale.ca.us

# City of Bradbury [A-2236(o)];

# [via U.S. Mail and email]

Cary S. Relsman, Esq.
City Attorney, City of Bradbury
Wailln, Kress, Relsman & Kranitz, LLP
2800 28th Street, Sulte 315
Santa Monica, CA 90405
cary@wkrklaw.com

# City of Culver City [A-2236(hh)]:

# [via U.S. Mall and email]

Lisa Bond, Esq.
Candlee K. Lee, Esq.
Andrew J. Brady, Esq.
Richards, Watson & Gershon
355 South Grand Avenue, 40th Floor
Los Angeles, CA 90071
Ibond@rwglaw.com
clee@rwglaw.com
abrady@rwglaw.com

### [via U.S. Mail and email]

City of Bradbury c/o Ms. Michelle Keith City Manager 600 Winston Avenue Bradbury, CA 91008 mkeith@cityofbradbury.org

# [via U.S. Mail and email]

City of Culver City c/o Mr. John Nachbar, City Manager 9770 Culver Boulevard Culver City, CA 90232 john.nachbar@culvercity.org

### City of Westlake Village [A-2236(p)]:

### [via U.S. Mall and email]

Lisa Bond, Esq.
Candice K. Lee, Esq.
Andrew J. Brady, Esq.
Richards, Watson & Gershon
355 South Grand Avenue, 40th Floor
Los Angeles, CA 90071
Ibond@rwqlaw.com
clee@rwqlaw.com

### (via U.S. Mali and email)

abrady@rwolaw.com

City of Westlake Village c/o City Manager 31200 Oak Crest Drive Westlake Village, CA 91361 ray@wlv.org beth@wlv.org

# City of La Mirada [A-2236 (a)]:

### [via U.S. mall and email]

Lisa Bond, Esq.
Candice K. Lee, Esq.
Andrew J. Brady, Esq.
Richards, Watson & Gershon
355 South Grand Avenue, 40th Floor
Los Angeles, CA 90071
Ibond@rwqlaw.com
clee@rwqlaw.com
abrady@rwqlaw.com

## [via U.S. Mail and amail]

City of La Mirada c/o City Manager 13700 La Mirada Boulevard La Mirada, CA 90638 citycontact@cityoflamirada.org

### City of Manhattan Beach [A-2236(r)]:

[via U.S. Mail and email]
Lisa Bond, Esq.
Candice K. Lee, Esq.
Andrew J. Brady, Esq.
Richards, Watson & Gershon
355 South Grand Avenue, 40th Floor
Los Angeles, CA 90071
|bond@rwglaw.com
clee@rwglaw.com
abrady@rwglaw.com

## City of Signal Hill [A-2236(ii)]:

# [via U.S. Mail and email]

David J. Aleshire, Esq., City Attorney
David D. Boyer, Esq., Special Counsel
Wesley A. Miliband, Esq., Special Counsel
Aleshire & Wynder, LLP
18881 Von Karman Avenue, Suite 1700
Irvine, CA 92612
daleshire@awattorneys.com
dboyer@awattorneys.com
wmiliband@awattorneys.com

# [via U.S. Mail and email]

City of Signal Hill c/o Mr. Kenneth Farfsing City Manager 2175 Cherry Avenue Signal Hill, CA 90755 kfarfsing@cityofsignalhill.org

### City of Redondo Beach [A-2236(ii)]:

### [via U.S. Mail and email]

Lisa Bond, Esq.
Candice K. Lee, Esq.
Andrew J. Brady, Esq.
Richards, Watson & Gershon
355 South Grand Avenue, 40th Floor
Los Angeles, CA 90071
bond@rwglaw.com
clee@rwglaw.com
abrady@rwglaw.com

# [via U.S. Mail only]

City of Redondo Beach c/o Mr. Bill Workman, City Manager 415 Diamond Street Redondo Beach, CA 90277

# City of West Covins [A-2236(kk)]:

[via U.S. Mail and email]
Amhony Marinaccio, Esq.
Alvarez-Glasman & Colvin
13181 Crossroads Parkway North
West Tower, Suite 400
City of Industry, CA 91746
amarinaccio@agciawfirm.com

[via U.S. Maii and email] [via U.S. Mail and email] City of Manhattan Beach City of West Covina c/o City Manager c/o Mr. Andrew Pasmant, City Manager 1400 Highland Avenue 1444 West Garvey Avenue, Room 305 Manhattan Beach, CA 90266 West Covina, CA 91790 cm@citymb.info andrew.pasmant@westcovina.org City of Covina [A-2236 (s)]: [via U.S. Mail and email] City of West Covina [via U.S. Mail and email] c/o Ms. Shannon Yauchzee Lisa Bond, Esq. Director of Public Works Candice K. Lee, Esq. 1444 West Garvey Avenue Andrew J. Brady, Esq. West Covina, CA 91790 Richards, Watson & Gershon shannon.vauchzee@westcovina.org 355 South Grand Avenue, 40th Floor Los Angeles, CA 90071 lbond@rwglaw.com clee@rwglaw.com abrady@rwolaw.com [via U.S. Mali and email] **Additional Interested Party By Request:** City of Covina c/o City Manager [via U.S. Mall only] 125 East Coilege Street Andrew R. Henderson, Esq. General Counsel Covina, CA 91273 **Building Industry Legal Defense Foundation** vcastro@covinaca.gov 17744 Sky Park Circle, Suite 170 Irvine, CA 92614 ahenderson@blasc.org City of Vernon [A-2236(1)]: [via U.S. Mail and email] Lisa Bond, Esq. Candice K. Lee, Esq. Andrew J. Brady, Esq. Richards, Watson & Gershon 355 South Grand Avenue, 40th Floor Los Angeles, CA 90071 lbond@rwglaw.com clee@rwglaw.com abrady@rwglaw.com [via U.S. Mall and email] City of Vernon c/o City Manager 4305 South Santa Fe Avenue

Vernon, CA 90058 carellano@ci.vernon.ca.us

# Petitions of City of San Marino, et al. SWRCB/OCC Files A-2236 (a thru kk)

### EXHIBIT B MS4 DISCHARGERS MAILING LIST

City of Agoura Hills c/o Ken Berkman, City Engineer 30001 Ladyface Court Agoura Hills, CA 91301 kberkman@agoura-hills.ca.us

City of Alhambra c/o David Dolphin 111 South First Street Alhambra, CA 91801-3796 ddolphin@cityofalhambra.org

City of Arcadia c/o Vanessa Hevener Environmental Services Officer 11800 Goldring Road Arcadia, CA 91006-5879 vhevener@ci.arcadia.ca.us

City of Artesia c/o Maria Dadian Director of Public Works 18747 Clarkdale Avenue Artesia, CA 90701-5899 mdadian@cityofartesia.ci.us

City of Azusa c/o Carl Hassel, City Engineer 213 East Foothill Boulevard Azusa, CA 91702 chassel@ci.azusa.ca.us

City of Baldwin Park c/o David Lopez, Associate Engineer 14403 East Pacific Avenue Baldwin Park, CA 91706-4297 dlopez@baldwinpark.com

City of Bell c/o Terry Rodrigue, City Engineer 6330 Pine Avenue Bell, CA 90201-1291 trodrigue@cityofbell.org City of Bell Gardens c/o John Oropeza, Director of Public Works 7100 South Garfield Avenue Bell Gardens, CA 90201-3293

City of Bellflower c/o Bernie Iniguez Environmental Services Manager 16600 Civic Center Drive Bellflower, CA 90706-5494 biniguez@bellflower.org

City of Beverly Hills
c/o Vincent Chee, Project Civil Engineer
455 North Rexford Drive
Beverly Hills, CA 90210
kgettler@beverlyhills.org

City of Bradbury c/o Elroy Kiepke, City Engineer 600 Winston Avenue Bradbury, CA 91010-1199 mkeith@cityofbradbury.org

City of Burbank c/o Bonnie Teaford, Public Works Director P.O. Box 6459 Burbank, CA 91510 bteaford@ci.burbank.ca.us

City of Calabasas c/o Alex Farassati, ESM 100 Civic Center Way Calabasas, CA 91302-3172 afarassati@cityofcalabasas.com

City of Carson c/o Patricia Elkins
Building Construction Manager
P.O. Box 6234
Carson, CA 90745
pelkins@carson.ca.us

City of Cerritos c/o Mike O'Grady, Environmental Services P.O. Box 3130 Cerritos, CA 90703-3130 mogrady@cerritos.us

City of Claremont c/o Brian Desatnik Director of Community Development 207 Harvard Avenue Claremont, CA 91711-4719 bdesatnik@ci.claremont.ca.us

City of Commerce c/o Gina Nila 2535 Commerce Way Commerce, CA 90040-1487 anila@ci.commerce.ca.us

City of Compton c/o Hien Nguyen, Assistant City Engineer 25 South Willowbrook Avenue Compton, CA 90220-3190

City of Covina
c/o Vivian Castro
Environmental Services Manager
125 East College Street
Covina, CA 91723-2199
vastro@covinaca.gov

City of Cudahy c/o Hector Rodriguez, City Manager P.O. Box 1007 Cudahy, CA 90201-6097 hrodriguez@cityofcudahy.ca.us

City of Culver City c/o Damian Skinner, Manager 9770 Culver Boulevard Culver City, CA 90232-0507

City of Diamond Bar c/o David Liu, Director of Public Works 21825 East Copley Drive Diamond Bar, CA 91765-4177 dliu@diamondbarca.gov City of Downey c/o Jason Wen, Ph.D., P.E. Utilities Superintendent 9252 Stewart and Gray Road Downey, CA 90241 jwen@downeyca.org

City of Duarte c/o Steve Esbenshades Engineering Division Manager 1600 Huntington Drive Duarte, CA 91010-2592

City of El Monte c/o James A. Enriquez Director of Public Works P.O. Box 6008 El Monte, CA 91731

City of El Segundo c/o Stephanie Katsouleas Public Works Director 350 Main Street El Segundo, CA 90245-3895 skatsouleas@elsegundo.org

City of Gardena c/o Ron Jackson Building Maintenance Supervisor P.O. Box 47003 Gardena, CA 90247-3778 ifelix@ci.gardena.ca.us

City of Glendale c/o Maurice Oillataguerre
Senior Environmental Program Scientist
Eng. Section, 633 East Broadway, Rm. 209
Glendale, CA 91206-4308
moillataguerre@ci.glendale.ca.us

City of Glendora c/o Dave Davies Deputy Director of Public Works 116 East Foothill Boulevard Glendora, CA 91741 ddavies@ci.glendora.ca.us City of Hawaiian Gardens c/o Joseph Colombo Director of Community Development 21815 Pioneer Boulevard Hawaiian Gardens, CA 90716 icolombo@ghcity.org

City of Hawthorne c/o Arnold Shadbehr Chief General Service and Public Works 4455 West 126<sup>th</sup> Street Hawthorne, CA 90250-4482 ashadbehr@cityofhawthorne.org

City of Hermosa Beach c/o Homayoun Behboodi Associate Engineer 1315 Valley Drive Hermosa Beach, CA 90254-3884 hbehboodi@hermosabch.org

City of Hidden Hills c/o Kimberly Colberts Environmental Coordinator 6165 Spring Valley Road Hidden Hills, CA 91302

City of Huntington Park c/o Craig Melich City Engineer and City Official 6550 Miles Avenue Huntington Park, CA 90255

City of Industry c/o Mike Nagaoka Director of Public Safety P.O. Box 3366 Industry, CA 91744-3995

City of Inglewood c/o Lauren Amimoto Senor Administrative Analyst 1 W. Manchester Boulevard, 3<sup>rd</sup> Floor Inglewood, CA 90301-1750 lamimoto@cityofinglewood.org

City of Irwindale c/o Kwok Tam Director of Public Works 5050 North Irwindale Avenue Irwindale, CA 91706 ktam@ci.irwindale.ca.us City of La Canada Flintridge c/o Edward G. Hitti Director of Public Works 1327 Foothill Boulevard La Canada Flintridge, CA 91011-2137 ehitti@lcf.ca.gov

City of La Habra Heights c/o Shauna Clark, City Manager 1245 North Hacienda Boulevard La Habra Heights, CA 90631-2570 shaunac@lhhcity.org

City of La Mirada c/o Steve Forster Public Works Director 13700 La Mirada Boulevard La Mirada, CA 90638-0828 sforster@cityoflamirada.org

City of La Puente c/o John DiMario Director of Development Services 15900 East Marin Street La Puente, CA 91744-4788 idimario@lapuente.org

City of La Verne c/o Daniel Keesey Director of Public Works 3660 "D" Street La Verne, CA 91750-3599 dkeesey@ci.la-verne.ca.us

City of Lakewood c/o Konya Vivanti P.O. Box 158 Lakewood, CA 90714-0158 kvivanti@lakewoodcity.org

City of Lawndale c/o Marlene Miyoshi Senior Administrative Analyst 14717 Burin Avenue Lawndale, CA 90260

City of Lomita c/o Tom A. Odom, City Administrator P.O. Box 339 Lomita, CA 90717-0098 d.tomita@lomitacity.com City of Los Angeles c/o Shahram Kharanghani Program Manager 1149 S. Broadway, 10<sup>th</sup> Floor Los Angeles, CA 90015

City of Lynwood c/o Josef Kekula 11330 Bullis Road Lynwood, CA 90262-3693

City of Malibu c/o Jennifer Brown Environmental Program Analyst 23825 Stuart Ranch Road Malibu, CA 90265-4861 jbrown@malibucity.org

City of Manhattan Beach c/o Brian Wright, Water Supervisor 1400 Highland Avenue Manhattan Beach, CA 90266-4795 bwright@citymb.info

City of Maywood c/o Andre Dupret, Project Manager 4319 East Slauson Avenue Maywood, CA 90270-2897

City of Monrovia c/o Heather Maloney 415 South Ivy Avenue Monrovia, CA 91016-2888 hmaloney@ci.monrovia.ca.gov

City of Montebello c/o Cory Roberts 1600 West Beverly Boulevard Montebello, CA 90640-3970 croberts@aaeinc.com

City of Monterey Park
c/o Amy Ho or John Hunter, Consultant
320 West Newmark Avenue
Monterey Park, CA 91754-2896
amho@montereypark.ca.gov
jhunter@jhla.net

City of Norwalk c/o Daniel R. Garcia, City Engineer P.O. Box 1030 Norwalk, CA 90651-1030 dgarcia@norwalkca.gov

City of Palos Verdes Estates c/o Allan Rigg, Director of Public Works 340 Palos Verdes Drive West Palos Verdes Estates, CA 90274 arigg@pvestates.org

City of Paramount c/o Christopher S. Cash Director of Public Works 16400 Colorado Avenue Paramount, CA 90723-5091 ccash@paramountcity.com

City of Pasadena c/o Stephen Walker P.O. Box 7115 Pasadena, CA 91109-7215 swalker@cityofpasadena.net

City of Pico Rivera c/o Art Cervantes Director of Public Works P.O. Box 1016 Pico Rivera, CA 90660-1016 acervantes@pico-rivera.org

City of Pomona c/o Julie Carver Environmental Programs Coordinator P.O. Box 660 Pomona, CA 91769-0660 julie carver@ci.pomona.ca.us

City of Rancho Palos Verdes c/o Ray Holland Interim Public Works Director 30940 Hawthorne Boulevard Rancho Palos Verdes, CA 90275 <u>clehr@rpv.com</u> City of Redondo Beach c/o Mike Shay Principal Civil Engineer P.O. Box 270 Redondo Beach, CA 90277-0270 mshay@redondo.org

City of Rolling Hills c/o Greg Grammer Assistant to the City Manager 2 Portuguese Bend Road Rolling Hills, CA 90274-5199 ggrammer@rollinghillsestatesca.gov

City of Rolling Hills Estates c/o Greg Grammer Assistant to the City Manager 4045 Palos Verdes Drive North Rolling Hills Estates, CA 90274 ggrammer@rollinghillsestatesca.gov

City of Rosemead c/o Chris Marcarello Director of Public Works 8838 East Valley Boulevard Rosemead, CA 91770-1787

City of San Dimas c/o Latoya Cyrus Environmental Services Coordinator 245 East Bonita Avenue San Dimas, CA 91773-3002 Icyrus@ci.san-dimas.ca.us

City of San Fernando c/o Ron Ruiz Director of Public Works 117 Macneil Street San Fernando, CA 91340 rruiz@sfcity.org

City of San Gabriel c/o Daren T. Grilley, City Engineer 425 South Mission Drive San Gabriel, CA 91775

City of San Marino c/o Chuck Richie
Director of Parks and Public Works 2200 Huntington Drive
San Marino, CA 91108-2691 crichie@cityofsanmarino.org

City of Santa Clarita c/o Travis Lange Environmental Services Manager 23920 West Valencia Boulevard, Suite 300 Santa Clarita, CA 91355

City of Santa Fe Springs
c/o Sarina Morales-Choate
Civil Engineer Assistant
P.O. Box 2120
Santa Fe Springs, CA 90670-2120
smorales-choate@santafesprings.org

City of Santa Monica c/o Neal Shapiro Urban Runoff Coordinator 1685 Main Street Santa Monica, CA 90401-3295 nshapiro@smgov.net

City of Sierra Madre c/o James Carlson, Management Analyst 232 West Sierra Madre Boulevard Sierra Madre, CA 91024-2312

City of Signal Hill c/o John Hunter 2175 Cherry Avenue Signal Hill, CA 90755 jhunter@jlha.net

City of South El Monte c/o Anthony Ybarra, City Manager 1415 North Santa Anita Avenue South El Monte, CA 91733-3389

City of South Gate c/o John Hunter 8650 California Avenue South Gate, CA 90280 jhunter@jlha.net

City of South Pasadena c/o John Hunter 1414 Mission Street South Pasadena, CA 91030-3298 jhunter@ilha.net City of Temple City c/o Joe Lambert or John Hunter 9701 Las Tunas Drive Temple City, CA 91780-2249 jhunter@ilha.net

City of Torrance c/o Leslie Cortez Senior Administrative Assistant 3031 Torrance Boulevard Torrance, CA 90503-5059

City of Vernon c/o Claudia Arellano 4305 Santa Fe Avenue Vernon, CA 90058-1786

City of Walnut c/o Jack Yoshino Senior Management Assistant P.O. Box 682 Walnut, CA 91788

City of West Covina c/o Samuel Gutierrez Engineering Technician P.O. Box 1440 West Covina, CA 91793-1440 sam.gutierrez@westcovina.org

City of West Hollywood c/o Sharon Perlstein, City Engineer 8300 Santa Monica Boulevard West Hollywood, CA 90069-4314 sperlstein@weho.org

City of Westlake Village c/o Joe Bellomo Stormwater Program Manager 31200 Oak Crest Drive Westlake Village, CA 91361 jbellomo@willdan.com

City of Whittier c/o David Mochizuki Director of Public Works 13230 Penn Street Whittier, CA 90602-1772 dmochizuki@cityofwhittier.org County of Los Angeles c/o Gary Hildebrand, Assistant Deputy Director, Division Engineer 900 South Fremont Avenue Alhambra, CA 91803 ghildeb@dpw.lacounty.gov

Los Angeles County Flood Control District c/o Gary Hildebrand, Assistant Deputy Director, Division Engineer 900 South Fremont Avenue Alhambra, CA 91803 <a href="mailto:ghildeb@dpw.lacounty.gov">ghildeb@dpw.lacounty.gov</a>